DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

SEP 2 0 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of	,)	
800 Data Base Access Tariffs and the)	CC Docket No. 93-129
800 Service Management System Tariff)	

TO: Chief, Common Carrier Bureau

DIRECT CASE OF LAFOURCHE TELEPHONE COMPANY

INTRODUCTION

Lafourche Telephone Company ("Lafourche"), by its attorneys, hereby submits its response to the request for information in the above-referenced proceeding. The response of Lafourche includes comments in support of its methodology for rate development, answers to questions 1 through 11 in Appendix B of the Commission's Order Designating Issues for Investigation, DA 93-930, CC Docket No. 93-129 (rel. July 19, 1993) (the "Order"), and a completed copy of the Tariff Division's spreadsheet analyzing the costs for 800 data base service.

BACKGROUND

Lafourche filed its original access tariff for 800 data base service on March 5, 1993, in accordance with the Commission's Second Report and Order, FCC 93-53 (rel. Jan. 29, 1993) and Memorandum Opinion and Order on Further Reconsideration, FCC 93-54 (rel. Jan. 29, 1993) in CC Docket No. 86-10. On April 29, 1993, Lafourche filed a supplement to its 800 data base tariff in accordance with the Commission's order in In the Matter of the Bell Operating Companies Tariff for the 800 Service Management System, Tariff F.C.C. No. 1 and 800 Data Base Access Tariffs, 8 FCC Rcd 3242. The supplement advanced the effective date of the tariff and suspended for one day the rates and regulations contained in Lafourche's March 5 filing.

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E On July 19, 1993 the Commission released its Order designating the issues for investigation concerning the tariff filings of local exchange carriers (LECs) which introduced the rate structure for 800 access service. In this order, Lafourche was directed to respond to eleven questions contained in Appendix B of the Order and to complete the parts of the Tariff Division's spreadsheet that apply to the functions included as costs for Lafourche's 800 data base service.

RATE DEVELOPMENT

In its original rate filing, Lafourche presented cost support information justifying a rate of \$0.032 per interstate 800 query. This rate was determined based on an analysis of Lafourche's cost for the period ended December 31, 1991. Lafourche's cost was calculated to be \$0.025 per 800 query. An additional cost of \$0.007 per query was added to account for the data base charge from the data base provider, Independent Telecommunications Network (ITN).

In determining the cost for the provision of 800 data base service, Lafourche used the historic test period ended December 31, 1991. The costs allocated directly to 800 data base service include the cost of central office equipment software added specifically for the provision of 800 data base service in the amount of \$31,428 and one-half of the annual lease cost of "A links" required to deliver 800 data base queries from the Service Switching Point (SSP) to the Signal Transfer Point (STP) in the amount of \$18,000. All other 800 data base costs are allocated through a cost allocation process using Part 36 of the Commission's rules.

Lafourche's costs associated with the provision of 800 data base service based on the year 1991 are presented in Schedule A and amount to \$47,040. Interstate 800 data base service costs were determined based on the ratio of interstate 800 messages to total 800 messages for the year 1992. Interstate 800 messages represent approximately 64.59% of total 800 messages for the year 1992. Lafourche's interstate 800 data base service costs for the year 1991 are presented in Schedule A and amount to \$30,385. Lafourche's resulting cost per interstate 800 data base query amounts to \$0.025. Upon adding ITN's cost of \$0.007 per query, the total cost per interstate 800 data base query amounts to \$0.032.

DEMAND QUANTITIES

In determining the cost per 800 data base query, Lafourche used total 800 messages for the year 1992 as this was the latest information available at the time of the filing. Lafourche did not reduce or otherwise adjust its test period demand for unbillable queries, but used total 800 messages of 1,880,381, of which approximately 64.59% or 1,214,599 represent interstate 800 messages.

RESPONSE TO OUESTIONS IN APPENDIX B

Lafourche's responses to the questions contained in Appendix B of the Order are presented in Attachment 1. A copy of the 800 data base spreadsheet requested by the Tariff Division is also provided on both paper record and on floppy diskette. The 800 data base spreadsheet provided on paper record is presented in Attachment 2. Attachment 3 contains an addendum for other 800 data base investments not included in the FCC's Appendix B spreadsheet. Attachment 4 is Lafourche's cost allocation model used to assign costs to 800 data base query services, provided in accordance with Issue 6 of the Order concerning the reasonableness of cost allocations.

CONCLUSION

In responding to the all applicable Commission requests for information concerning the provision of 800 data base query service, Lafourche believes it has sufficiently justified its 800 data base query charge contained in its original access service tariff filing dated March 5, 1993, and proposes no change to its access service tariff.

Respectfully submitted,

LAFOURCHE TELEPHONE COMPANY

Rv.

Howard J. Symons Keith A. Barritt Mintz, Levin, Cohn,

Ferris, Glovsky & Popeo, P.C. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Suite 900

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 434-7300

Its Attorneys

September 20, 1993

D20717.1

LAFOURCHE TELEPHONE COMPANY DEVELOPMENT OF 800 DATABASE QUERY CHARGE BASED ON THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1991

		Total	Interstate
1. Originating 800	Messages	1,880,381	1,214,599
2. Percent Distribu	•	100.00%	64.59%
Revenue Requi	rement - 800 Database		
3. Plant In Service	:	\$40,044	\$25,866
4. Accumulated D	epreciation	(\$12,775)	(\$8,252)
5. Deferred Taxes	and Credits	(\$4,030)	(\$2,603)
6. Misc Telephone	Plant	\$2,315	\$1,495
7. Net Telephone	Plant	\$25,554	\$16,506
8. Return @ 11.25	%	\$2,875	\$1,857
9. Plant Specific E	Expense	\$20,956	\$13,536
10. Plant Non Spec	ific Expense	\$735	\$475
11. Depreciation &	Amortization	\$3,878	\$2,505
12. Services Expen	se	\$0	\$0
13. Corporate Oper	ations	\$17,051	\$11,014
14. Other Expense	& Tax	\$912	\$589
15. Income Tax Ex	pense	\$633	\$409_
16. Total Epense a	and Tax	\$44,165	\$28,528
17 Total Revenue	Requirement	\$47,040	\$30,385
18. Company Cost	Per Interstate Query		\$0.025
	rom Database Provider (ITN)		\$0.007
20. Total Cost Per I	nterstate Query		\$0.032

800 DATABASE DATA REQUEST APPENDIX B SECTIONS III AND IV

Section III. Demand

- Q1. For 800 data base service, provide the demand level used in your cost calculations.
- A1. Lafourche Telephone Company used the test period ended December 31, 1992 in determining its 800 message demand for data base query service. The 1992 demand is presented as follows:

Study Period - 1992

Intrastate 800 messages	665,782
Interstate 800 messages	1,214,599
Total 800 messages	1.880.381

- Q2. If in calculating your costs, you lowered your demand estimate to compensate for unbillable queries, thereby increasing costs, provide the percent by which you lowered demand.
- A2. Lafourche Telephone Company did not reduce demand for unbillable queries, therefore this question does not apply.

Section IV. Other

- Q3. Explain and justify your rationale for the factor used to decrease demand for your ratemaking calculations.
- A3. This question does not apply.
- Q4. Provide the name of the SCP provider for your query service.
- A4. Independent Telecommunications Network (ITN)
- Q5. Provide the per query rate on which your rates were based.
- A5. The charge per query charged by ITN amounts to \$0.007

- Q6. Did your SCP provider(s) revise rates since your original rate calculations?
- A6. ITN has not revised it's data base query charge since Lafourche's original rate filing.
- Q7. If your SCP provider(s) revised rates, have you revised your rates to reflect the change in your costs?
- A7. This question does not apply
- Q8. If you use two or more SCP providers and develop a composite query cost, explain how the composite is calculated for inclusion in your rates.
- A8. Lafourche Telephone Company does not use two or more SCP providers.
- Q9. If you use a transport provider, provide the name and per query rate assessed by that provider.
- A9. Lafourche Telephone Company leases transport from ITN at a fixed monthly cost of \$3,000 per set of "A links". Lafourche has included half of this cost in developing the annual cost for 800 data base service.
- Q10. Provide worksheets showing all relevant data and calculations.
- All relevant data and calculations used in developing the annual revenue requirement for 800 data base service are presented on Attachment 4.
- Q11. Include and justify any other costs incurred to provide 800 service.
- A11. Other investments not included in the FCC APP-B spreadsheet are presented on Appendix 3. These investments represent General Support Facilities (GSF) allocated to data base services based on a ratio of 800 data base COE to total COE, IOT and CWF. The GSF investment allocated to 800 data base service which is not included in the FCC APP-B spreadsheet amounts to \$3,164 with an annual cost of \$1,299. An analysis of the annual cost of other GSF investment is presented on Attachment 3.

36800 3513 2848 441 142 2361 734 734 16000 17198

18000 15429 33429

LATOURUTE IELEPTICAE COMPANY	-															Page 2 of 2
	•	œ	c	c	u	ı		7 .	-	-	Y	-	3	2	c	α.
	:	1		Analog	Digital	. Radio	, jo	Other	·		Underground	Burled	IntraBitig Network	Aertal	Condut	
II. Jurjedictional Separations	Land Acet 2111	Buildings Acct 2121	Computers Acct 2124	Switching Acet 2211	Switching Act 2212	System Acct 2231	Equipment Acd 2232	Equipment Acct 2362	Poles Acd 2411	Cable And 2421	Cable And 2422	Cable Acd 2423	Cable And 2426	Wire Acct 2431	Systems Acct 2441	Total
STP/8CP Signaling Link Total Investment Total company State to Separation State 600 Dulabase State of the Total Intervation State																
Method of Assignment																
Local STP-Regional STP Signaling Link Total Investment Total Company Sulped to Separation Sulped to Separation Sulped to Separation Sulped Sulped Sul																
Method of Assignment																
Tandem Swelch Total Investment Total Company Subject to Septembin State Other Interestate Other Interestate Other																
Method of Assignment																
SSP Total forestronit Total forestronit Total forestronit State 200 Database State Other frientishe 300 Database Interstate Other	21 22 25 22 25 25	4689 4680 1680 3020	908 279 342		31428 31428 11129 20299											3680 3680 13123 D 23820 0
Method of Assignment					Eng'g Study											
	<															
III. Demand	Total															
800 Database Queries State 800 Database State 00 Detail Intercate 800 Database Intercate Other Unbillable Query Fector	1690381 665782 0 1214589 0															

INFORMATION REQUEST FOR 800 DATABASE SERVICE COSTS OTHER INVESTMENT NOT INCLUDED IN FCC APP-B WORKSHEET

Remaining Total from Gen Support APP-B File Facilities Total

В

C

I. Unit Cost and Investment - OTHER

STP/SCP Signalling Link Unit Investment

Unit Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Ofther Direct Expense
Overhead Loadings
Total

Local STP/Regional STP Signalling Link

Unit Investment

Unit Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Other Direct Expense
Overhead Loadings
Total

Tandem Switch Unit Investment

Unit Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Other Direct Expense
Overhead Loadings
Total

SSP			
Unit Investment	36880	3164	40044
Unit Costs			
Depreciation	3513	365	3878
Net Return	2648	227	2875
Federal Income Tax	44 1	38	479
State & Local Income Tax	142	12	154
Maintenance	2361	595	2956
Administration	734	1	735
Other Tax	707	61	768
Other Direct Expense	18000	0	18000
Overhead Loadings	17196	0	17196
Total	45742	1299	47041

Lafourche Telephone Co. 800 Database Data Request

	etment	Reference	Unseparated Tariff Amount	800 Database Amounts	Land, Bid'g & Computer to Total Gen Sup 2A,3A,4A/1A	800 Db Direct Invest. (7B) to 5A,6A,8A	- Part 36 Ratios - IOT, Percentages 1A/8A & 5A/8A	TPIS Percentages 1A/9A & 5A/9A
			A	В	C	D	E	F
1	General Support Investment		\$8,356,252	_			27.4146%	21.5159%
2	Land		\$149,954		1.7945%			
3	Buildings		\$4,547,637		54.4220%			
4	Gen Purpose Computers		\$590,114		7.0619%			
5	COE Investment		\$10,989,041			0.2860%	36.0520%	28.2949%
6	COE Switching Investment		\$7,134,165			0.4405%		
7	Direct 800 Investment			\$31,428				
8	COE, IOT, C&WF investment		\$30,481,071			0.1031%		
9	TPIS		\$38,837,510					
	GS Subaccounts:							
10	Land		\$149,954					
11	Buildings		\$4,547,637					
12	Gen Purpose Computers		\$590,114					
	GS Subaccounts 800 Db:							
13	Land	10Ax8D		\$155				
14	Buildings	11Ax8D		\$4,689				
15	Gen Purpose Computers	12Ax8D		\$608				
16	Remaining General Support		\$3,068,547		36.72%			
17	Effect on Db Allocation	16Ax8D		\$3,164				
18	Total 800 Db Investment			\$40,044	100.00%			
				======	======			

Lafourche Telephone Co. 800 Database Data Request

Expenses

Description	Reference	Unseparated Tariff Amount	800 Database Amounts	Percentage o 800 Db Exp's to Total Big 3
				C
Depreciation Expense:		A	В	C
19 Buildings	19Ax8D	\$123,348	\$127	
20 Gen Purpose Computers		\$88,076	\$91	
21 COE Cat 3	21 Ax6D	\$737,644	\$3,250	
22 COE undistributed	Direct	\$15,834	\$45	
23 Remaining GS	23Ax8D	\$354,465	\$365	
Maintenance Expense:				
24 Gen & Net Support Expe	nse	\$1,571,155		
25 Land	24Ax2Cx8D *		\$29	
26 Buildings	24Ax3Cx8D		\$882	
27 Gen Purpose Computers			\$114	
28 COE Maintenance Exper	se Direct		\$65 8	
29 COE Other (Oper & Tran	s) Direct		678	
30 Remaining GS	24Ax16Cx8D		\$595	
Administration:		\$700.400		
31 Network Expense	D:	\$703,129	470-	
32 COE	Direct	*****	\$725	
33 Other Plant Expense		\$9,841	**	
34 Land	33Ax2Cx1Fx8D		\$0.05	
35 Buildings	33Ax3Cx1Fx8D		\$1.19	
36 Gen Purpose Compute			\$0.15	
37 COE 38 Remaining GS	33Ax5Fx5D 33Ax16Cx1Fx8D		\$7.96 \$0.80	
Other Direct Expense:				
39 C&WF (A-Link)	Direct		\$18,000	
40 Total Big Three Expenses		\$3,420,942		
41 Land				0.00009
42 Buildings				0.0000
43 Gen Purpose Computers				0.00009
44 COE Maint & Direct Exp	(28B+29B+32B)	40A		0.06029
45 C&WF	39B/40A			0,52829
Overhead Loadings:	O- mbd.	****		
46 Executive, Gen Admn, &	Contr's	\$2,932,412	_	
47 Land			\$0	
48 Buildings			\$0	
49 Gen Purpose Computers			\$0	
50 COE Maint & Direct Exp 51 C&WF	46Ax44C 46Ax45C		\$1,767 \$15,429	
52 Other Taxes and Rent Reven	uie.	\$744,418		
53 Land	52Ax2Cx1Fx8D	÷177, 7 10	\$3	
54 Buildings	52Ax3Cx1Fx8D		\$90	
55 Gen Purpose Computers			\$12	
56 COE	52Ax5Dx5F		\$602	
57 Remaining GS	52Ax16Cx1Fx8D		\$61	
58 Total Expenses & Other Tax	98		\$43,533	
			======	

Lafourche Telephone Co. 800 Database Data Request

Retu	rn & income Taxes		800 Database	800 Return or
Desc	cription	Reference	Amounts	Tax Amounts
			Α	В
	Net Return:			
59	Net investment		\$25,554	
60	800 Database Investment		\$40,044	
61	Ratio	59A/60A	63.82%	
62	Land	62Ax61A	\$155	\$11
63	Buildings	63Ax61A	\$4,689	\$337
64	Gen Purpose Computers	64Ax61A	\$608	\$44
65	COE	65Ax61A	\$31,428	\$2,256
66	Remaining GS	66Ax61A	\$3,164	\$227
	Federal Income Tax:			
67	Federal Tax		\$479	
68	800 Database Investment		\$40,044	
69	Ratio	67A/68A	1.20%	
70	Land	70Ax69A	\$155	\$2
71	Buildings	71 Ax69 A	\$4,689	\$56
72	Gen Purpose Computers	72Ax69A	\$608	\$7
73	COE	73Ax69A	\$31,428	\$376
74	Remaining GS	74Ax69A	\$3,164	\$38
	State Income Tax:			
75	State Tax		\$154	
76	800 Database Investment		\$40,044	
77	Ratio	75A/76A	0.38%	
78	Land	78Ax77A	\$155	\$1
79	Buildings	79Ax77A	\$4,689	\$18
80	Gen Purpose Computers	80Ax77A	\$608	\$2
81	COE	81 Ax77A	\$ 31,428	\$121
82	Remaining GS	82Ax77A	\$3,164	\$12
83	Total Return and Taxes			\$3,508
	_			======
84	Total Revenue Requirement			\$47 ,041
				=====

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Howard J. Symons, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Direct Case of Lafourche Telephone Company were served by hand on the following this 20th day of September 1993.

Howard J. Symons

Tom Quaile
Tariff Division
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 518
Washington, DC 20554

International Transcription Service 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 246 Washington, DC 20554

D20717.1